

**Annex 1: Project Design Summary
MOLDOVA: SIF II**

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
Hierarchy of Objectives	Key Performance Indicators	Data Collection Strategy	Critical Assumptions
Sector-related CAS Goal:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sector Indicators:% of poor people in targeted areas benefiting from MSIF • (basic food expenditures, expenditures on footwear and clothes, income, subjective poverty)Increment of school attendance in participating communities • Increment of learning results in rehabilitated schools • Increased number of schools with new educational programs in participating communities • Decreased respiratory and infection diseases in communities with school, water and gas microprojects • increased participation of community members in community development in participating communities • decrease in consumption of coal and fuel wood for heating in participating communities 	Sector / country reports: , community surveys	(From Goal to Bank Mission)

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> decreased annual expenditures for maintenance/functioning of heating systems in the rehabilitated public fac 		
<p>Project Development Objective: The project will contribute to the implementation of Moldova's Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction Strategy by empowering poor communities and their institutions to manage their priority development needs. through: basic social and economic services; development of the capacity of their institutions to provide quality services and to strengthen social capital; and establishing regular feedback mechanism to reflect their experience in the changing national policies.</p>	<p>Outcome / Impact Indicators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of people and their % of total target population who havehaving benefited from SIF interventions, % of targeted, total and by typology, out of them: children, women, vulnerable people % of beneficiaries satisfied with services provided through SIF interventions number of institutions created and registered (I would add here ...and involved in the maintenance of the rehabilitated facility). Number of communities implementing other new projects after SIF intervention % of these people using the skills learnt during the trainings <p>Number of communities who have developed and implement Community Development Plan and % from total communities with handed over</p>	<p>Project reports / Means of verification:</p> <p>During SIF implementation: SIF MIS Beneficiary assessment Annual report</p> <p>After SIF implementation: Special impact evaluations</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Target population motivation is maintained Socio-economic and political environment remain favourable

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
	<p>projects</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of improved/created services targeted to specific vulnerable groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of trainings and persons*days of training received from MSIF and in association with SIF and Number of temporary and permanent jobs created <p>ilitiesTotal km of roads, gas and water pipelines constructed/ rehabilitated</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of de-institutionalised children and with prevented institutionalisation, and % of total institutionalised in targeted areas.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Social inclusion of children at risk in common schools</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> New private businesses created by communities after SIF intervention (is this relevant to what SIF is trying to do really?)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of planted trees with and after MSIF intervention (this is rather an output indicator)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> New SCS programs implemented and tested by service providers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of best practices replicated to state and local agencies</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Number of SIF lessons learned by</p>		

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
	state and local agencies <input type="checkbox"/> Number of changed policies, influenced by SIF <input type="checkbox"/> Number of rayon and central public officers benefiting and using the skills learnt during training, study and TA <input type="checkbox"/>		
Component 1: Community Development			
<u>Sub component 1.1.</u> Newly involved rural communities	Performance indicators:		
Output 1.1.1. Improved quality of socio-economic services for newly involved communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of micro projects completed and by typologies • Number of persons benefiting from improved services • % of microprojects • cost per beneficiary: average and by typology with high score on quality by community 	SIF reports LPA reports CBOs reports Impact assessments Procurement and technical reviews Participatory M&E	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rural communities remain motivated • Qualified contractors and local consultants are available • Local budget sufficient for maintenance and operation
<u>Sub component 1.2.</u> CDD projects for rural communities	Performance indicators:		
Output 1.2.1. Empowered capacities of SIF 1 communities through CDD projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of communities benefiting, number of projects completed and by typologies • Number of persons benefiting from improved services • cost per beneficiary: average and by typology 	SIF reports LPA reports CBOs reports Impact assessments Procurement and technical reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rural communities remain motivated • Qualified contractors and local consultants are available • Local budget sufficient for maintenance and operation
<u>Sub component 1.3.</u> Small towns	Performance indicators:		

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
Output 1.3.1. New procedures for micro project in urban areas developed and tested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <input type="checkbox"/> % of trainees expressing satisfaction with new skills acquired Operational Manual finished (I would take this out) 	SIF reports LPA reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small town communities are interested
Output 1.3.2. Improved quality of urban socio-economic services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of micro projects completed and by typologies • Number of persons benefiting from improved services • % of microprojects • cost per beneficiary: average and by typology with high score on quality by community • Cost per beneficiary: average and by typology 	SIF reports LPA reports Impact assessments Procurement and technical reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Types of micro projects are acceptable to SIF • Qualified contractors and local consultants are available • New technologies are acceptable to line authorities
<u>Sub component 1.4.</u> Capacity building of SIF partners (CBOs, LPAs, contractors, local consultants)	Performance indicators:		
Output 1.4.1. Improved capacities of SIF partners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of persons having received SIF training (categories of trainees, types of training, amounts of training provided) 	SIF reports Impact assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partners remain motivated • Trainers available • Trainers accept conditions which SIF may offer
Component 2: Social care services development			
<u>Sub component 2.1.</u> Pilot projects	Performance indicators:		
Output 2.1.1. Comprehensive community based social care plans developed for each pilot region	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of social care plans developed 	SIF reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regional administration is motivated to participate in such plans

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
Output 2.1.2. Complementary integrated social care services established in pilot regions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of types of services provided and tested <input type="checkbox"/> Operational manual section elaborated (take this out) 	SIF reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legislation and norms are amended to allow innovative approaches • Regional authorities are motivated • Proposed methods are compatible with actual situation • Local financial resources for operation are available
<u>Sub component 2.2.</u> Capacity building for central (social assistance offices) and local government and of service providers	Performance indicators:		
Output 2.2.1. Methods and procedures established	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number and methods and procedures developed <input type="checkbox"/> Section in Operational Manual prepared (take this out) 	SIF reports Local and central government reports Ministry of Labour reports Service providers reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevant internal and external experts are available and motivated • Proposed methods are accepted by central and regional authorities • Impacts correspond to expectations
Output 2.2.2. Improved capacities of participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of satisfaction with received training • Number of persons having received SIF training (categories of trainees, types of training, amounts of training provided) 	SIF reports Local and central government reports Ministry of Labour reports Service providers reports Impact assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participants are motivated • Trainers available • Trainers accept conditions which SIF may offer
Component 3: Cdevelopment,			

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
cCapacity building, monitoring and evaluation			
Output 3.1. Best practices (models) identified and disseminated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of best practices identified, formulated and shared with targeted audience 	SIF reports Special assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experts are available to identify best practices
Output 3.2. Lessons learned for policy development identified and disseminated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of lessons learned and formulated and shared with targeted audience 	SIF reports Special assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experts are available to identify relevant lessons
Output 3.4. System for communication between SIF and partners established	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C • Number of recipients in communication system 	SIF reports Special assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partners are motivated • Communication strategy accepted by partners
Output 3.2.3. Types of experiences to disseminate identified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of dissemination activities 	SIF reports Special assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Output 3.5. Agreements reached for replication of practices and lessons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of MoU's signed and number of signatory partners 	SIF reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partners are willing to sign agreements for replication of SIF practices
Output 3.7. Communities' performance regularly monitored	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of communities regularly monitored and their percentage of total 	SIF reports Impact assessments Participatory monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualified experts are available and willing to accept SIF conditions
Output 3.8. New participatory M&E guidelines produced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of communities participating in monitoring and evaluations 	MIS, SIF reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community members motivated to participate
Output 3.9. SIF performance improved as result of feedback from impact assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of changes in SIF procedures 	World Bank mission reports SIF reports Audit reports Technical and procurement reviews Impact assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualified experts are available and willing to accept SIF conditions
Component 4: Project management	Performance indicators:		
Output 4.1. Efficient and effective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost of SIF activities 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SIF staff remains motivated

Output from each Component:	Output indicators:	Project reports / Means of verification	(From Outputs to Objective):
implementation of project activities	<p>compared with national averages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disbursements compared to plans • Number of micro projects completed as per SIF plans • Number of trainings provided as per plan 	<p>World Bank mission reports</p> <p>SIF reports</p> <p>Audit reports</p> <p>Technical and procurement reviews</p> <p>Impact assessments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government rules of relevance remain acceptable to SIF staff

SPREADSHEET BUDGET

BUDGET ITEMS	Credit	Contribution	Total
Component 1 Community Development			
Sub 1.1. Rural community micro projects	7 272 477	1 799 193	9 071 670
Sub 1.2. Small towns	2 662 346	606 732	3 264 240
Sub 1.3. CDD projects	1 169 040	474 720	1 643 760
Sub 1.4. Capacity building SIF partners	195 746	15 044	210790
Total Comp 1	11 299 609	2 895 689	14 208 000
Component 2 Social care services development			
Sub 2.1. Pilot projects	2 221 368	99 092	2 320 460
Sub 2.2. Capacity building	19 350	240	19 590
Total Comp 2	195 746	15 044	210 790
Comp 3 Capacity building, monitoring and evaluation			
Sub 3.1. Contribution to capacity building	99 600	400	100 000
Sub 3.2. Communication and replication best practices	532 888	67 637	600 525
Sub 3.3. Monitoring and evaluation	471 944	86 256	558 200
Total Comp 3	1 104 432	154 293	1 271 540
Comp 4 Project management	1 007 962	235 000	1 200 000
Sub total	9580617	2203530	11 754 000
Refinancing PPF	1 000 000		1 000 000
Unallocated	1 500 000		1 500 000
GRAND TOTAL	15 652 721	3 384 314	19 037 035